IN THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MROZINSKI)

OCTOBER 11, 2016 NELSON, BC

IN THE MATTER OF

REGINA

 \mathbf{v}_{ullet}

RICHARD LEE DESAUTEL

PROCEEDINGS AT TRIAL (DAY 11)

APPEARING FOR THE CROWN:

G. THOMPSON A. PEACOCK M. WORTH

APPEARING FOR THE ACCUSED:

M. UNDERHILL E. PENN

that right? 2 In interviews of 1984/85, around there, and the 3 affidavits were those that you submitted, and I 4 don't recall the dates of them. 5 Well, I didn't submit any affidavits, but I think 6 you are -- I think you are referring to the 2009 7 affidavits that are at least partially in evidence 8 now. Is that what you are referring to? 9 Α They are the only affidavits I know of, so I'll 10 agree with you. And so again, just I have your evidence -- and 11 12 we'll come back to this this afternoon, but your 13 evidence is that -- we may get to it this morning 14 actually. Your evidence is that the Lakes people 15 were just too busy farming to hunt anymore in the 16 Arrow Lakes; is that right? 17

- A Yes. I think there was hunting available closer to home and they took on a different lifestyle.
 - Now, you are aware, aren't you, that a law was passed in 18 -- make sure I get that right, 1896 that prohibited non-resident Indians from hunting in British Columbia?
- A Can you say that again.
- Q You are aware, I assume, because it's referenced in your report, that a law was passed in 1896 that made it illegal for non-resident Indians to hunt in British Columbia?
- A There was that motion in the House saying that they -- it wasn't -- I didn't think it was made illegal. It was -- the motion was to ask the US government to not allow the American hunters to come north because the game should be left for the Canadian Kootenays.
- THE CLERK: Your Honour, shall I enter the last exhibit as exhibit 71, the invoice, one page invoice?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. UNDERHILL: I am sorry. Thank you very much, Madam Clerk.

THE COURT: Thank you, Madam Clerk.

EXHIBIT 71: Invoice 5 for services rendered by Bouchard & Kennedy Research Consultants on behalf of the Ministry of Justice, Province of BC, dated June 30, 2015

MR. UNDERHILL:

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

Q Have you seen this statute before?

32 Dorothy Kennedy (for the Crown) Cross-exam by Mr. Underhill

```
No, I haven't. I've only seen it referred to.
      Α
 2
            Can you have a look, please, at section 6.
      Q
 3
      Α
            Yes.
 4
      Q
           And you'll see there, essentially as I've said,
 5
            that's a provision dealing with the prohibition
 6
            against non-resident Indians hunting. Do you see
 7
            that?
 8
      Α
            Yes, I do.
 9
            So this is news to you? This is the first time
10
            you've seen this?
11
      Α
            Well, I see that it would be what likely came out
12
            of the motion in the House.
13
           But you weren't aware that this law was in
14
            existence until today?
15
            I think I was. I've just forgot it.
      Α
16
      Q
            Forgotten about it?
17
      Α
           Yeah.
18
            Do you concede that this law might have had an
19
            impact on Lakes people hunting in British
20
            Columbia?
21
      Α
            Yes, it could have had an impact.
22
           Might have made their choice something less than
23
            voluntary; wouldn't you agree?
24
      Α
            I assume that they are not just talking about
25
            Indians from Alberta, but ...
26
            I think you can safely assume that. And we know
      Q
27
            that's true if we look at your report, isn't it?
28
            Let's go to page 175.
29
            140 ...
      Α
30
            175.
      Q
31
      Α
            175.
32
           And over to the top of page 176. You in fact
33
            reference this very provision, as I understand it,
34
            here at the top of page 176, don't you?
35
           Well, it's mentioned in another -- in a newspaper
      Α
36
            article.
37
           No, fair enough. And we are going to come to
38
            these newspaper articles in a minute, but
39
            certainly as I understand your report and what you
40
            are suggesting at 175 and 176, or what you are
41
            recalling, is that following the -- what I think
42
            you've conceded to at least be harassment but not
43
            oppression -- you are very careful about that.
44
```

The harassment of Lakes people hunting led in fact

It's also fair to say, then, as I've said, isn't

to this provision; isn't that fair?

I think that's fair.

45

46

47

Q

Dorothy Kennedy (for the Crown) Cross-exam by Mr. Underhill

- it, that the choice for Lakes people to hunt in 2 British Columbia may not have been voluntary 3 because it was illegal to come up and hunt? 4 Well, we do have incidents of people hunting. 5 We certainly do, don't we? 6 After this time. Α 7 Despite it being illegal? Q
- 8 Α Yes.
- 9 Wouldn't that suggest to you that it was quite 10 important to them to keep on trying to do it?
- 11 Α Well, I think for people like Mr. Christian, it 12 was a necessity.
- 13 Well, wasn't it important to everybody else too? 14 Despite the fact that it was illegal, they still 15 came up to hunt, didn't they?
- 16 Α Some did, yes.
- And that doesn't suggest to you that maybe hunting 17 Q 18 was really, really important to the Lakes people 19 in the Arrow?
 - I don't agree with that statement, that it was important to the Lakes people. I'm suggesting that there may have been less of it going on over
 - Have you talked to a single Lakes person about whether they want to hunt in the Arrow today?
 - I don't think I've ever asked that specific Α question.
- 28 Q No, you haven't, have you?
- 29 Α No.
 - Q You have no idea what the Lakes people -- whether it's important for them to hunt in the Arrow, do You have no idea whether that's important to you? them or not?
 - I think that if it were not, we wouldn't be here Α today.
 - Well, let's put it this way: you haven't talked to a single person about whether it's important for them to hunt?
 - That's true.
- 40 Again, I'm not sure if it's appropriate MR. UNDERHILL: 41 to mark a statute as an exhibit.
- 42 THE COURT: I think we'll mark it. It's an old 43 statute. I'll mark it as the next exhibit.
- 44 MR. UNDERHILL: Thank you, Your Honour. 45 THE CLERK: Exhibit 72, Your Honour.

46 47

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39