IN THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MROZINSKI)

OCTOBER 5, 2016 NELSON, BC

IN THE MATTER OF

REGINA

 \mathbf{V}_{\bullet}

RICHARD LEE DESAUTEL

PROCEEDINGS AT TRIAL (DAY 8)

APPEARING FOR THE CROWN:

G. THOMPSON A. PEACOCK M. WORTH

APPEARING FOR THE ACCUSED:

M. UNDERHILL E. PENN

69 Darlena Watt (for the accused) Cross-exam by Mr. Thompson Michael Marchand (for the accused) In chief by Mr. Underhill

No, no, but I'm just asking you, you do it in 1 2 Washington as well; right? There's hunting in 3 Washington?

Α Both places, yes.

5 Right. And so people are hunting now in 6 Washington, and you have a --

7 Α Yes.

4

8

9

13

17

18

19

20

21

22 2.3

24 25

26

27

29

30

31

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44

45

46

47

-- basis for doing it. So people get deer in Washington; is that right?

10 Α M'mm-hmm. Yes.

11 Q And they get other game in Washington as well?

12 Α Yes.

> Those are my questions. Thank you. MR. THOMPSON:

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Anything arising, Mr. Underhill? 16

MR. UNDERHILL: Nothing arising, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Ms. Watt, I'd like to thank you very much for coming and testifying. Appreciate that. And I'll excuse you from the witness stand. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(WITNESS EXCUSED)

THE COURT: All right. Have you got another witness, Mr. Underhill?

MR. UNDERHILL: Yes, I do.

28 THE COURT: Okay.

> Yes. I now call Dr. Michael Marchand MR. UNDERHILL: to the stand, please.

32 MICHAEL MARCHAND, a 33 witness called for the 34 accused, sworn.

> THE CLERK: Please state your full name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Michael Edward Marchand. And it's my English name.

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. UNDERHILL:

- Dr. Marchand, I wonder if you could first Q introduce yourself traditionally to the court.
- Α Okay. My English name is Michael Edward Marchand. My Indian name is Qualth-a-men, Q-u-a-l-t-ha-m-e-n. That's how I spell it. It's probably

not the real linguistical way to spell it, but it means --

THE COURT: Some Xs in there.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. It means wolverine. That's the Indian name. And when my aunt named me. My parents were Edward Marchand. He was Lakes. My mother was Thelma Cleveland-Marchand. She was mostly Entiat and Wenatchi. And my paternal -well, I'll start with -- my maternal grandparents were John B. Cleveland. He was Wenatchi and former chairman of our tribe. Then his wife was Gladys Timator-Cleveland. And she was the descendant of the Entiat chief, Shilkasaskin [phonetic], which means Standing Cloud. That's my mother's side. And then I had a couple of uncles and a few aunts on that side too, but I won't go into them.

But on my father's side, my father was Arrow Lakes. He was raised in Kettle Falls. And his parents were William Marchand. He was commonly called Willy, I think. And then his wife was Mary Aurapahkin-Marchand. She was the descendant of Chief Aurapahkin. So that is my family. And I had lots of uncles. Lots of aunts. Lots of cousins.

MR. UNDERHILL:

- Maybe tell the court a little bit more about what you know about Chief Aurapahkin.
- A I was raised a lot with my grandparents. So I lived -- I went to public schools, like, nine months of the year, but the summers I stay with my grandparents mostly, and mostly with my grandparents who lived near Kettle Falls. There's a -- just north of Kettle Falls there's allotments called Aurapahkin Allotments, and that's where my grandparents lived. And so I stayed there most summers. And then just in daily life she mentioned Aurapahkin once in a while. Like, if I did something she thought was good, then she might say, that's like your grandpa -- Chief Aurapahkin used to do that. Could be almost anything. If I did something bad, she never did say that. And so that's kind of how I heard about him.

And then as we were raised -- it seems kind of odd now, but when I was a real young child I didn't really understand time or calendars or years or anything like that, but we would play

71 Michael Marchand (for the accused) In chief by Mr. Underhill

with -- we were encouraged to play with hunting things a lot or war things a lot, things like knives or bows or even guns. And we were kind of encouraged just to utilize those things, and we 5 were encouraged to hunt or help hunt. And in the course of doing that they would teach us how to be 7 safe with things. And, for example, with a gun or 8 something, we were taught probably from the time 9 you were a baby that this is a lethal weapon. 10 It's meant to kill. You don't ever point this as nothing, especially people, unless you plan to 11 12 kill something, you know. So actually seeing baby 13 pictures of myself with, like, high-powered rifles 14 in the same picture, that sort of thing. We were just raised with those things. And he was just kind of a presence there. And I don't know how --15 16 I guess just he was just kind of spiritual 17 18 presence in my whole childhood, I guess. 19 I want to come back to that a little bit later, 20 Let me first go through some Dr. Marchand. 21 background for the benefit of the court. You are 22 also a member of the Lakes Tribe of the 23 Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation; 24 correct? 25 Α Yes. 26 Could you just tell the court where you live. 27 Presently I live in a place called Disautel, Washington. It's not actually -- it's more like a 28 29 spot on a map. It's up in the mountains. It's 30 near the town of Omak, Washington. So my legal 31 post office residence is Omak, but I actually live 32 in Disautel. It's about 17 miles from Omak. And how long have you lived there? 33 34 I think about 25 years. And then before that I Α 35 lived in Omak. 36 And you are currently the chairman of the Colville 37 Confederated Tribes; correct? 38 Α Yes, I'm the chairman for the Colville 39 Confederated Tribes. 40 I wonder if you could start just by taking the 41 court briefly through your history of being on the 42 council and how long you've done that for. In terms of actually being on the council, I 43 44

started about 20 years ago. And I've been -- but

those haven't been consecutive years. I was -- I lost elections a couple of times, so I actually

was out for a couple of years. I forget what

45

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

46

47

years, but basically I started 20 years ago. I've been chairman once before about 10 years ago, but prior to that I worked for the council. I was, like, council staff person. And then as a child my mother was a councilwoman and my grandfather was the chairman, and they used to take me to meetings, so I feel like I have kind of been training to be a councilman all my life, so ...

- Maybe you could tell the court a little bit about your other employment that you've had outside of the political world. You did mention being a staff person. So if you could just maybe work through some of your other employment history for the court.
- Well, my parents and grandparents were kind of Α like workaholics, so they expected us kids all to work all the time. So I started working kind of at the bottom of my tribe, where you could call it that or fieldwork, working in the forest, thinning trees, working with chainsaws, farm work, fencing work. All kinds of manual labour. Anything you could think of like that that young people could do. No education. I did all things. And then over time I kind of worked my way up through the system. Well, chairman is top of the system. It's like a country. It's the size of the state of Connecticut. We have 1,300 employees in our government. We have about another 1,000 employees in our business operations. It's a pretty substantial operation. And I'm basically at the top of that system now. And I probably worked in most of the jobs in between, but I also worked in other places.

Out of college I worked for Seattle City Light, Office of the Mayor. I was the policy analyst for the mayor of Seattle. For a time I was a technical assistant director in Eastern Washington University. We provided training and assistance to Northwest Indian tribes for about five years. But mostly I worked for the tribe.

- Q Can you, then, take the court through your post-secondary education.
- A I'm kind of proud of my high school too, but I --
- Q We can talk about that too. That's all right.
 A I went to prep school at Phillips Exeter Acade
 - A I went to prep school at Phillips Exeter Academy in New Hampshire. And that's pretty much always ranked in the top five schools in the world. And

so I went there. I didn't graduate from there, but I went there for two years. Then in college I tried some places, but I ended up liking Eastern Washington University in Cheney, Washington near Spokane. I got a bachelor of arts degree in economics and a second major in urban and regional planning. And then I worked a while. Then I went back and I got a masters in urban regional planning from the same place, Eastern Washington University. And then I worked quite a while. Then fairly recently, about five or six years ago, I lost my election, and then I went -- then I had an opportunity to get into a doctorate program at the University of Washington, so I went there for three years and got a doctorate in forestry. so I'm kind of a recent graduate from there.

- Q And could you tell the court about your dissertation topic.
- A I wrote a dissertation about the impacts of the Grand Coolee Dam on Colville people. Most of it about Colvilles, but all river Indian people. And that was eventually published in a book. I can't remember the whole title of it, but it starts The River of Life, and then I'm the principal author, Marchand. You can Google that. It will get you to the book. But it was a lot of work. And it won some awards in China. And they want me to write another book, so ...
- Q So I would like to, then, now circle back to where you began, which is you mentioned that you had spent summers with your grandparents. And your grandmother was Mary Marchand; is that right?

 A Yes.
- And the court has obviously heard about her before today. I'd like you to tell the court a little bit about her and how she lived her life.
- A She was probably the closest grandparent that I had because I spent a lot of time with her and she spent a lot of time teaching me or trying to teach me. And there was a -- I used to feel fortunate because she was kind of a throwback to the ancient times. She liked traditional things. She was actually not a poor person. Her husband was kind of an entrepreneur. He was always doing business and contracts and wheeling and dealing stuff. And so she wasn't short of money, but she actually had a house in Orient, Washington. She had a house in

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

what is called Marcus Flats or what is called Kelly Hill, Washington, which is near Kettle Falls. She had a house in Omak, Washington. And at one time she had a house by the mouth of the Okanogan River called -- near a town called Brewster, Washington or Monse. So she actually had four houses at one time. Maybe five. There were too close together. But even though she had all these houses, she didn't like houses. And she lived in tents. Her preference was living in a tent.

And so most of the summers that I stayed with her we were living in the mountains. I had no idea where we were often. But she just thought houses were crazy. And she liked being outdoors. She liked to have a campfire. She liked to have the stars. And we spent -- makes me cry but it was good times. And she just said, like, this is the best it can be. We are in the outdoors. We've got the sky and fires and have time to tell stories and actually see people. There were no cellphones or computers in those days. It was actually people to people. And so she would be camped out at various places in the mountains picking berries or else on rivers fishing for salmon or else on lakes fishing for trout. And it was very seasonal. And I didn't know it at the time, but I guess that's how our people always lived, but I just know that's how I lived. And so we would be in one area where there were huckleberries. They might be ripe in July. And then we would pack up and go somewhere elsewhere. Huckleberries are ripe in August. Then we go someplace else where they are ripe in September. We kept moving around like that.

And then she'd pick -- earlier in the year she'd pick roots. And then we spent a lot of time at the mouth of the Okanogan River. I camped out there, and I mainly fished for salmon in those days up. Until the mid 1960s, and then -- and that was the ancient camping site, the mouth of the Okanogan.

And I was told a lot of stories about that area. That was just the ancient, ancient site. People fished there and people had longhouses there. People had horse races there. And we've always been there, up until the mid 60s. They

built Wells Dam, and that was all flooded. And then my grandma got depressed. And she went back to Kelly Hill. And actually a lot of Arrow Lakes people did the same. They fished at Kelly Hill, Kettle Falls, until 1942. And Grand Coolee Dam came in, flooded the Columbia River, flooded Kettle Falls. And so up until 1942 we've been fishermen for probably at least 10,000 years or had been traders and wealthy people. In 1942 it just stopped.

And so a lot of Arrow Lakes people went down to the next fishing spot. The next fishing spot was Okanogan River. And so a lot of Arrow Lakes people moved to Inchelium. They moved to Nespelem. But a lot of them moved to Omak because it was closer to the Okanogan River. And they kept fishing. So fishing just remained important, and it still is. I could go down there today and probably a lot of the fishermen are actually Arrow Lakes fishermen today. And most of my relatives.

- Q Now, you were the eldest son in your immediate family; is that right?
- A Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- Q Could you talk a little bit about how your grandmother Mary Marchand raised you in comparison to your other brothers?
- I think I was raised differently, but then it's not unusual. I think in our culture they look at each child's potential and what they want to do and -- like, I had a brother who was a good athlete, and they kind of pushed him into being that. And then I had other brothers that did other things. And I don't know exactly why, but they seemed to think I wanted to be a tribal leader, and they kind of pushed me into being that, helped me be that. And so, like -- and so I wasn't treated like my brothers, but then -- I had four brothers, but then each one of them wasn't treated like the other four brothers either. were just totally total focused on each individual Indian child. And I don't know about all I just know about my family, but it was families. all positive reinforcement. No one ever was negative to me in my whole life. And I know that's not always the case, but for me it was. whenever we did something good, we were praised for it, and we were treated like spoiled kings or

something. And that is how I was raised.

And so in my case, the resources to train me to be a leader were there. My grandparents were kind of community leaders. They weren't really into politics so much, but people looked up to them. My mother's side were more the elected leaders at that time. They were councilmen — elected councilmen, chairmans. They understood federal policy, state policy, Indian law, all that sort of history. They understood that, and I was encouraged to learn that. And they actually brought me to meetings, and I was just taught to be quiet and don't make noise and listen, you know.

And in those days we didn't have big fancy buildings or anything, so tribal councils used to meet in the farmhouses. So my grandpa was chairman and lived in a farmhouse down by the mouth of the Okanogan River. This is my mother's And the tribal council used to come to his house to meet, and they would meet usually all night. And they just had a big pot of coffee and they just sit there at the kitchen table. And I remember it was smoky. They all smoked cigars and pipes and cigarettes. And they drank a lot of coffee. And they would argue all night about should the tribe do this; should the tribe do that; what are the threats to the tribe. Right. They would talk about congressmen. They would talk about presidents. And sometimes congressmen would come to their house.

So there was a -- my grandpa was a well-known person in national Indian politics, and he had respect from congressmen and senators and state legislature. And they would actually come out to his farmhouse. And so I had met -- I didn't know these people, but I had met people like Senator Everett Dirksen or some of these famous senators. I actually met them when I was a child.

- Q Did your grandmother talk to you about the Arrow Lakes area? Your recollections of conversations.
- Yeah, I think it's kind of a lifetime experience learning about that. And it starts when you are almost a baby, I think. And I guess we are just taught that we are Arrow Lakes people, that we are -- that we've always been a strong tribe, a powerful tribal. Maybe not in ways that western society sees it, but in our ways of valuing

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

things. We are important people. And I got examples, I guess. But, like, one place that starts is the creation stories. Like the Bible has Genesis, we have our stories. Once -- and often a lot of these stories are coyote stories. There was a coyote story about how coyote creates the world. And actually there are a lot of stories about coyotes.

This one story starts at the Pacific Ocean, and he travels up the Columbia River. And he meets different tribes, and he kind of creates the world as he goes up the river. And it's a long story, but I won't go through the whole story. But since my mom was Entiat, he got to the Entiat -- where the town of Entiat is now there's the Entiat River there, and a coyote is a kind of a figure that makes things happen. He's kind of neither good nor bad but maybe more bad. sure, but he makes things happen. And he was going up the river, and he would meet with different villages, and he was looking for a wife. And he knew there was some beautiful Entiat -potential brides in the Entiat Tribe, and he talked to the Entiats, and he said that he wanted to get a wife. What would they do with -- could they help him. And they said no, they are not going to help him, and none of their girls wanted to marry the coyote. And that made him angry. And he said, you guys are, like, stingy. You guys aren't sharing. You guys aren't helping me. And so his punishment to the Entiat people was he gave them the smallest river, so Entiat River is the smallest river. There's still fish in it, but it's a real small river. And that's what they got because they were not generous and they were being stingy that day. I think usually they are not that stingy, but that day they were stingy. they got the Entiat River, which I don't know if it's a smallest river, but to my knowledge it's the smallest river. It's a pretty short river.

So he kept going up the river, and, like, every village was kind of a different story. And I don't know how many there is, but when he got up to Kettle Falls -- Kettle Falls with the big giant falls, the big thundering falls, and there was one of the biggest fisheries in the world and the wealthy resource, and there were lots of people

there -- that lived there, and he asked them the same thing. He said, do you guys have a wife for me. And they said yeah. And they gave him a great wife. She was a beautiful wife. And he was grateful to the Arrow Lakes people. And he said -- for a reward, he said, we are giving you guys the best lands in the world. So all the Arrow Lakes lands come from creation, beginning of the world.

So it starts like that. And you are just a toddler. You hear stories like that. And I don't remember, I heard that story the same time -- it's kind of like the Mother Goose story. You kind of hear it all over, all your life, and when you are, like, three years old, maybe it means one thing. When you are ten years old, it kind of maybe means something else. There's different layers of interpretation to it, but basically that's where it starts. Our people were -- it was important to live right. It was important to be generous. It was important to respect mother earth. It was important to respect visitors.

And maybe one other difference in the way our people were and the western people were is that we believe everything is kind of alive, everything has a spirit. And so I think Christians talk about souls. We have that same belief. We call it spirit or something else maybe, but we go beyond that. We would say this table has a spirit. This column has a spirit. Rock has a spirit. The stars have spirits. The earth has a spirit. The earth is mother earth. Even the air has a spirit. When the wind comes by, that has a spirit. So everything is alive. And then one of the core beliefs is that we have to respect those spirits, and if we do that and if we conduct their ceremonies right -- like Doll just talked about ceremonies. If you do these ceremonies right or if you do -- like the earlier guy talked about, you do the salmon ceremonies right, you respect these parts of nature, the nature will respect you. And so if you do everything right, live right, the salmon will come back, the deer will come back, the weather will come back.

And our people went through some disastrous years. In 1942 I mentioned the Coolee Dam was built. Yeah, it was built. Fish stopped. I

79 Michael Marchand (for the accused) In chief by Mr. Underhill

talked to a lot of my elders, and I said well, what happened? They tell me we screwed up. We started valuing money. We started valuing greed. We started doing bad things. We weren't doing 5 ceremonies, so those things were taken from us. 6 And so now we have kind of a movement going 7 on now where we are trying to get back on track, 8 get back to the doing ceremonies, get back to 9 doing salmon ... The difficulty with the salmon 10 ceremonies is there hasn't been fish since 1942, but we are doing it. And I think good things are starting to happen. I see it on the news. Even 11 12 though I have a lot of years in, like, western 13 14 science, I still have respect for how I was 15 raised. So that's one story, was this coyote 16 story. And then as I got older, my grandmother 17 would just tell me things like --18 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honour, I hesitated to interrupt 19 the witness, but in regard to the fact that we are 20 now hearing some oral history, it appears, I'm 21 wonder if this has not been appropriately set up 22 for the receipt of oral history, so I'm just 23 suggesting --24 THE COURT: Okay. So you are wondering. I -- are you 25 objecting and are you saying --26 MR. THOMPSON: I am objecting, Your Honour. 27 THE COURT: Okay. So -- and the basis of your 28 objection is ... 29 MR. THOMPSON: Is that we are listening to oral history without it being properly set up in accordance 30 31 with the test, as I understand the test. 32 THE COURT: Mr. Underhill? 33 MR. UNDERHILL: Well, I don't really understand this to 34 be oral history evidence. He is simply relating 35 what his grandmother told him about the Arrow 36 Lakes area and its people. So the extent that, you know, if it is oral history, and I'm not --37 38 THE COURT: Well, some of it clearly is. 39 MR. UNDERHILL: Fair enough. My submission is simply 40 it is grounded, and it has a source, and that is 41 his grandmother. And I think he has been very 42 clear that everything he has said he has learned from his grandmother. So that -- to the extent --43 44 and again, where it becomes oral history. I'm not sure. And I take your point, Your Honour, there's no question some of it is. It's grounded in his

grandmother and for that reason should be

Michael Marchand (for the accused) In chief by Mr. Underhill

```
admissible. As I said, I have really -- just to
           go back to what I had asked him to begin with, he
           is simply telling stories of what his grandmother
           has told him about the Arrow Lakes area. And that
5
           is really the point of the question.
 6
      MR. THOMPSON: Well, Your Honour, my friend is
7
           suggesting it's not oral history. He is
           suggesting this is just something that's been told
8
9
           to the witness. Then I would suggest that there's
10
           a question as to whether this is strictly hearsay
11
           or not in that regard. So it would appear to me
12
           that there is some necessity to set this up
13
           appropriately as the receipt of oral history.
14
      THE COURT: Well, I have heard -- I mean, I do know the
15
           source certainly from this witness of what I'm
16
           hearing.
                     I wonder how much of it is necessary at
17
           this point, I suppose is my question. I mean, you
18
           are welcome to go through the process. I'm sure
19
           you can, but I think if you confine yourself to
20
           what it was that you started out to get from this
21
           witness, I think we would get there without the
22
           detour. So you are welcome to establish some
23
           basis for the oral -- the introduction of the oral
24
           history, or we can take a sharp turn to the left
25
           and actually hear some evidence that doesn't
26
           require that. All right.
27
      MR. UNDERHILL: Right.
      THE COURT: I think what we might do now is just take
28
29
           the afternoon break.
30
                So, yeah, Mr. -- Dr. Marchand, we are going
31
           to take 15 minutes and come back and see where we
32
           are at.
33
      THE WITNESS:
                   Okay.
34
                      Thank you, Your Honour.
      MR. UNDERHILL:
35
      THE CLERK: Order in court.
36
37
            (WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
38
39
            (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 2:54 P.M. FOR AFTERNOON RECESS)
40
            (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 3:14 P.M.)
41
42
      THE CLERK: Order in court. All rise. Provincial
43
           court is reconvened.
44
45
                                     MICHAEL MARCHAND, a
46
                                     witness for the accused,
47
                                     recalled, reminded.
```

MR. UNDERHILL: Your Honour. We have regrouped somewhat, and we will take -- though it's a sharp left, it's certainly a different direction. And it soon will be a sharp left, I should say.

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. UNDERHILL (continuing):

- Q Just one more question about growing up,
 Dr. Marchand, and in particular, without getting
 into what he or she may have told you, can you
 just tell the court a little bit about other
 individuals who talked to you about the Arrow
 Lakes and the Sinixt people growing up.
- It was mostly just in contact with direct family, like parents, siblings and uncles, and so I really wasn't in contact with any other people, and so everything was from them. And I think my grandmother -- I asked her, actually, what is the She said it went from Kettle Falls Arrow Lake? and upper Columbia. She said the present towns of Nelson, Castlegar, Trail and Revelstoke used to be Arrow Lakes lands. And today they are not. she said that's their lands. Upper Columbia. in her mind, I think, the dominant summer area was Kettle Falls because of the great fisheries there. And it really orientated towards fisheries, but the other lands were important for roots and berries and hunting and these other activities, and so you couldn't just live off of fish alone. I quess that's about it for Arrow Lakes territory.
- Q Could you tell the court, and you've mentioned some of this earlier, how and when you learned to hunt. Starting with maybe how old you were.
- A I think they begin from the time you are born, basically. They model -- you model your behaviour after what you see. And my uncles liked to hunt, and my mother liked to hunt. Not so much my grandmother, but my grandfather liked to hunt. And then they take you with them hunting. And I had my dad. And my uncles are big strong guys. Joe Marchand and Ed Marchand. At least when they were younger, they were strong guys. And they would wake me up middle of the night. I always thought it was, like, middle of the night. And it seemed like it was always cold. And they would say, we are going hunting. And then we would go

up to some mountain somewhere. And when I was real small they just put me on their shoulders, and they would carry me. And so -- and I think one of the things they expect of you when you are small is -- usually when you are small you can see good. And when you get older you don't see so good. And so that's one of the roles as kids. You are supposed to have good eyes. And so you kind of spot stuff. And plus you are up higher too. And you start that way. And they would take you out fall -- mostly fall, winter, but we did hunt year round sometimes. But when they look for a lot of game, it's usually in the fall, in the winter. And they liked to hunt in the winter.

And I just remember when the snow is deep, it would be three big guys breaking trail through the snow, and they would take turns breaking trail through the snow. And I was a little bratty boy, and I would say, when is my turn? And they would just laugh, and they would say, okay; it's your turn. And they would let me break trail. And I would slow up the whole train, you know, but they would tolerate you. And you were just treated special. And they were happy you are there. And they were happy for the chance to train you to hunt. And so you watch that. And they'll hunt, and they'll shoot deer. And you watch them take care of the deer meat and watch them butcher the meat, and then you watch -- and then you eat it.

And so growing up, all we ever ate was deer meat. Things like birds, grouse -- my dad liked grouse. And we ate a lot of fish. And so usually it was grouse, deer meat, fish. It would be salmon or trout sometimes steelhead. And then natural foods like berries or roots. And then they also had big gardens. In those days everyone had big gardens. They would grow stuff like corn and potatoes and peas and things like that in a regular garden. Except their gardens are big. And the women spent a lot -- mostly -- well, us men too. Men and women spent a lot of time taking care of gardens. And there were no stores close by. And nobody had a lot of cash money. And so they were pretty self-sufficient. They just have bullets for hunting. They grew their own gardens and grew their own food and were pretty self-sufficient. And they lived in extended

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 families usually, and so usually I was always around uncle and aunts or cousins usually all the time.

But as you get older, stronger and bigger, you get more responsibility. And then they teach you how to hunt in groups. And so a lot of that teaching is learning more about, I guess, nature and more about animals and you learn about everything. Like, how does a bird live. How does a blue Heron live. Or how does a duck live. Or how does a raccoon live. And then how do deer live. And you learn about all these things. And it's all helps you be a better hunter. And it's a lot of education. And then you need to know the land, the lay of the land.

So I was mostly raised in the Kettle Falls area and in the Colville Reservation. I think probably -- in the Colville Reservation -- I have probably been on every square foot of land on the Colville Reservation at one time or another. the north half, maybe not so much, but I hunted a lot -- quite a bit around the Kelly Hill area, what is kind of the area north of Kettle Falls. And it's important to know the terrain because if you know the terrain, you know where the animals are going to be. And if you know what the animals eat, you know where they are going to be. And they have to have water and food, and they have to have places to hide. And so my uncles had hunted in these areas all their life. They knew where the animals were.

And they knew how to hunt them. And so, like I say, given drainage, there would be a basin. They would -- they would kind of know where the deer were at certain times of the year. would post themselves up on the ridgetops and top of canyons or draws and they would send kids to the bottom because kids make the most noise. matter how we walk, we make noise. We don't know how to walk right. And they would send us into the bottom, and we would scare game up to the top of these ridgetops. And then shooters would be up there waiting for them to come. And sure enough, we'd start walking through the bottom and deer would run up these trails. And they usually know -- they would know where most of the deer would go. And usually their best shooters would

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

be waiting there. And then we'd shoot. They might shoot 5 deer or 10 deer all at once.

And then they elect to hunt in the wintertime because it's lot easier to drag deer down in the snow than when there's not snow. And so these are just things they did every year. And they knew where to hunt at certain times of the year -certain weather conditions. They knew places to be, and they just had a lot of knowledge of the deer and of the environment. And that's just something they learned over their lifetime. that was taught to us. And so that's group hunting. And then you are kind of taught as a boy to hunt by yourself. And, like, when I was young -- I think I was in third grade, my dad went up to a place called Wannacut Basin, near Omak, and he seen a deer, and he shot it. And then he went over to gut it. And I was tagging along with him. I was -- whatever a third grader is -- nine years old or something. And I had a little short 22 rifle. It was real common. They'd have boys who would shoot 22s. And actually, adults used 22s a lot too. And then I seen two deer. And he shot one. And then so I started trying to catch the other deer. And I went over a little hill, and I caught up to the other little deer. And then he was just a sprite deer. And I was able to sneak up to him pretty close. And that's another thing they would teach you, is with a 22, it's a real short range rifle, so the closer you can get, the easier it is to shoot. And then -- and so I got up pretty close to him, and I shot him right between the eyes. And he dropped dead. And my dad was real happy. And I was real cool about it because I was thinking I could shoot all these grouse. I'd sooner shoot deer. That's bigger, but that's probably kind of lucky.

And so I didn't have to gut that deer. My dad gutted it. I was thinking he is going to make me gut the deer, but he didn't. And then -- but then we took the deer down to my mother's house, and then we skinned it and butchered it. And then I was watching him, and he didn't know I was watching him, and he took the heart and he put a piece of wire through it, like, kind of like bailing wire or something, and then he just hung it up in the backyard. And he had a bunch of

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

trees kind of like a vineyard back there, and he just hung it up out there in the air. And I was kind of peeking around the house watching. And then I didn't know what he was doing. Then -- and I just thought that was kind of interesting to me because I was wondering, what is my dad doing. And he would never say anything. And then you would roll the clock up many years and I was reading the story about Lakes people. I forget who wrote it, but it might have been Verne Ray's account. I'm not sure. But he described how Arrow Lakes people would hang the hearts up, just like my dad did, and -- but my dad never did tell me that.

And then I read that as well. My dad is Arrow Lakes, and that is what he did. He hung the heart up. And they hang it up. I guess the birds eat it. But I just thought it was odd because I -- then I asked my dad about that. I said, did you -- I says, is that why you hung that heart up because that what Arrow Lakes people do? And he denied it. He said, I didn't do that. And I thought, that's strange. Then I thought about that for a long time. But I think -- that's just my interpretation. I think he had just repressed a lot of his culture and maybe -- I'm not for sure, but I think so. But he just was in kind of denial that he did that. He repressed a lot of his beliefs. And he didn't teach me to speak the language. He didn't teach me a lot of the customs, although he knew them. He knew our language. He just -- I think he just thought that was, like, extra information that was kind of, like, baggage that I didn't need in my life, I That's just my interpretation. Maybe I'm think. I don't know. I thought that was odd. wrong. But otherwise he is a pretty open guy. wonder, like, this is, like, 40 years later. still won't admit it. And I know I saw him do that.

But I don't know. That was kind of common. In school they would tell us, cut your hair. Don't speak Indian. You had to conform with things. And I guess it's kind of the way he was thinking, I guess.

Q Do you remember what happened with the meat from that first deer that you shot?

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- A Well, it wasn't a big deer, but they gave it away to the neighbourhood. I don't know exactly who they gave it to, but I imagine it's our friends. And so we had -- at that time East Omak was mostly Native Americans, mostly Colvilles, and probably mostly Arrow Lakes people actually. And within one block area all my relatives -- if you go a few more blocks, it's other people but still mostly Arrow Lakes people like Charlie Quintasket. So he gave it away. And that's pretty common amongst most things. First deer, first elk, first salmon, first basket even.
 - Q Does hunting remain part of your life today?
 A It's still important to me, but I'm a very busy person. So I have to squeeze that time to hunt now. And so if it was up to me, I would be hunting every day, but I have to work six, seven days a week now. So if I get voted out, I don't cry too much.
 - I wonder if you could describe for the court the importance of this area to you personally, that is the Arrow Lakes area.
 - I think a lot of it just goes back to I felt it was important to my ancestors that I knew, and it was an important dream to them and a place, and I know our ancestors are buried here. That's important to Indians. Or at least our Indians. Ancestors are very important. And so even though I'm in a big business-type world, we make decisions -- we actually have all our chiefs in the council chamber from 12 tribes, and every time we vote on something, I think, how would these guys think about this. There's a Lakes chief. There's an Eniat chief. There's a Nez Perce chief. They are all called chiefs, and they are all looking at us, and I'm thinking like, how would they view this. And so we bounce -- that is how I think anyway. And so your ancestors are real important. And we come at it -- we are not all traditional either, though. I think it's kind of like a bell curve. We have some modern Indians and some super traditional Indians. kinds in the middle.

I'm probably like more in the middle probably, but to me, the past is good. Traditions are good. The history is good. Our people were good. And we try -- even though we can't go back

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47 in history and exactly duplicate those things today, we can try to go back to the best parts of our traditions and bring those into the future. So Arrow Lakes lands is one of those things. And so I just think that as part of our creation stories and -- maybe it's kind of silly, but when I was a young boy I seen the movie Exodus, and I seen that movie, and it's about the Jews going back to Israel, and I was thinking, that's just like us. It was like we are deported to a place, often at gunpoint, instead of in our homeland, and someday we are going to get back here. I don't know when, but that's our goal.

- Lastly, I wonder if you could tell the court about two individuals who aren't with us today, Jim Boyd and Virgil Seymour, and talk a little bit about the role they played in Lakes society before they passed on.
- Well, they were my good friends as an adult, I Α guess. I didn't know them as children. But as adults I worked with them. We were on tribal council together. And when you are on a tribal council, it's kind of like your own family because you see each other a lot. You see each other every day. I don't even see my own family every day now, but I see the council about every day. So I see -- Larry is on our council. These guys are on council. I spent years with Doll Watt. She's on council. And I'd see these guys at least five days a week. Usually -- sometimes seven days a week. Sometimes late at night. And so they become kind of your family. And then you spend a lot of hours talking to them. And I spent a lot of hours talking to Jim and talking to Virgil. And a lot of that time was talking about Arrow Lakes because I know a little bit about Arrow Lakes, and then they would know a little bit about Arrow Lakes, and each one of them would kind of have a little bit different angle. And we would learn from each other about what is here and why do we want to get back here and what is important. And they shaped -- I think we kind of shaped each other's thinking a lot on that.

And so we are trying to figure out well, how do we make this happen. And so they were just important. And then I was -- eventually they got off council. Well, I am getting my history mixed

up. But at one time I was chairman, about ten years ago. Then we were thinking we need someone to be kind of like a liaison or ambassador to British Columbia, and we hired Jim Boyd to do And he was my friend. I knew him before. We had been on boards before. We were on the business board before. And I knew about him. was an artist. He was a singer. And he knew about our culture. And he knew about Arrow Lakes. And he had family in Arrow Lakes. And we did We hired him to be a liaison. Virgil was kind of similar. Eventually these roles reversed. Eventually Jim Boyd is the chairman, and I'm a councilman, and we hired Virgil, and so -- but we all supported each other. And a few years ago people asked me to be chairman. I said no, Jim is chairman; I like Jim being chairman; let him be chairman. And I'm glad I did because that was his last year of his life. So they were just friends. And we just tried learning more about Arrow Lakes and trying to advance our Arrow Lakes. And they did a lot. And probably part of the reason we are here is because they were in that discussion. MR. UNDERHILL: Thank you. Those are my questions, Dr. Marchand. And now I think Mr. Thompson may have a few for you as well. Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

Q Mr. Marchand, thank you for being here today. Just to confirm some of the matters that you've already been through with Mr. Underhill just so I have this clear in my mind, you are a citizen of the United States; correct?

A Yes.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- Q And you are a resident of the United States?
- A Yes.
- Q And you've lived in the United States all of your life; is that right?
- A Yes.
- 41 Q And I believe it's well established now that you 42 are the chairman of the Business Council of the 43 Confederated Tribes of the Colville; correct?
- 44 A Yes.
- And just so I have this right, you had said that you have been on the business council either as chairman or as a councillor since -- did I have

```
this right -- 20 years; is that correct?
2
      Α
           Yes.
 3
      Q
            So around 20 years.
                                 And --
 4
      Α
           Minus maybe three years or something.
5
           Right. I understand. You are estimating a little
 6
           bit. And when were you first chairman? Do you
7
            recall?
8
      Α
            It was about 10 years ago.
9
            So about 2006, in there?
10
      Α
           Somewhere back there.
11
      Q
           And how long did that appointment last?
12
      Α
           One year.
13
           And then from there on you were back on the
14
           council, and you were on council up until this
15
           most recent appointment; is that right?
16
      Α
           I was chairman. Then there was the timber
17
            recession, and we laid off hundreds of people.
18
           And I was voted out. And so I was out for maybe a
19
           year.
                  Then I got re-elected again.
20
                  Now, the -- is it considered that the
      Q
21
           business council is the recognized Indian
22
            government for the 12 tribes within the Colville?
23
      Α
           Yes, it is.
24
           And so the -- each of the tribes, then, as I
25
           understand it, is subject to the directions of the
26
           business council; is that right?
27
            If it pertains to activities on the reservation or
      Α
28
            resources of the tribe -- there's nothing to stop
           them from going somewhere else and doing whatever
29
30
           they want, but if it pertains to our budget, it's
31
           our resources, that's all under the control of the
32
            tribal council.
33
           Right. And perhaps I could just -- I'd like to
      Q
34
            show you -- I've reproduced some documents here,
35
            and these are documents that are readily available
36
            online, and they are in fact constituting
37
           documents of the Confederated Tribes. And will
38
            you just turn to tab A, please. And this is
39
            labelled "The Constitution of the Confederated
40
           Tribes of the Colville." Does this look familiar
41
            to you?
42
           Yes.
      Α
43
           And this -- as I understand it, it -- it's
44
           available publicly, as I say, on the internet.
45
           And is this the most recent version of the
46
            constitution?
47
      Α
            It appears to be.
```

```
1
           So on that same -- just to clarify what we have in
           this document. If you go to tab B, it's the
 2
 3
           "Colville Tribal Law and Order Code." It's the
 4
           index. And it just has "the current edition."
5
           And this is a summarized table of contents. Does
 6
           that appear to be current as well?
7
           I think it's current.
8
           And then the tab 4 if you turn over, there is
9
           actually chapter 4 -- or title 4, I should say,
10
           the "Natural Resources and Environment" chapter.
11
           Does that also appear to be current to you?
12
           Yes, it appears to be correct.
      Α
13
           Then just to tab 8. This is title 8, which is the
      Q
14
           "Enrollment, Referendums and Elections" title
15
           chapter. And chapter 8.1, "Membership." Again,
16
           if you could confirm for me that this appears to
17
           be the most recent version.
18
           I think it probably is, but we did change the code
19
           to allow for adoption of Okanagan and Arrow Lakes
20
           people, who are our members in Canada. I don't
21
           notice that in here, but maybe it's in there.
22
           No, it's actually not. And that would be one of
23
           my questions for you.
24
           Okay.
      Α
                  Yeah.
25
      Q
           So --
26
           So I guess it's not totally current, I guess.
      Α
27
           So that particular amendment, to allow for that
      Q
28
           adoption, when did that occur?
29
      Α
           I'm not sure, but the attorney who worked for us
30
           at the time was a guy named Mike Taylor.
31
           argued for that amendment, but that's probably
32
           been -- just guessing, probably, like, 15 years
33
           ago.
34
           15?
      Q
35
           I'm not sure.
      Α
36
           This is the one that is currently on your website
37
           as -- indicated as being current. It doesn't
38
           include that. And you are sure it's that long
39
           ago?
40
                  That's kind of my problem.
      Α
           Yeah.
41
      Q
           It could be. So for that --
42
      Α
           Thanks.
43
           No, you are welcome for that. So, in any event,
44
           having said that, then, the -- is it
45
           requirement -- I notice in here, and I'll do this
           generally, there's a -- and we can go to it
46
```

specifically if we need to. There is a

requirement for a blood quantum to be a member of the Colville Tribes; is that correct?

A Yes.

And it says that there has to be one quarter more

- Q And it says that there has to be one quarter more of Indian blood -- in fact I'll just take you to it. If you go to the constitution article 7 "Membership." It's in the first tab.
- A Okay. Article 7.

 Q And do you see here under article 7 "Membership" is "all persons of Indian blood." It's sub (a).

Section 1(a). In the second line.

... subject to the approval of the secretary of the Interior ...

It's:

So when you are talking about the amendment to allow the adoption of members of the Arrow Lakes outside of the United States, was that approved by the secretary of the Interior?

- A That's what it says. And as far as I know it's still in place. In some situations through internal memorandums within the Bureau of Indian Affairs, they'll delegate that down to the local agency superintendent, but I'd have to check on this. I assume that's what happened.
- Q Right. I understand what you are saying. So where it says, then, that -- and I'm looking at (c) now. If you are talking about children, that -- possessing one fourth more Indian blood, born after January 1st, 1937, if they maintain a residence elsewhere than the Continental United States, there has to be a willingness on the part of the parent to maintain tribal relations, participate in tribal affairs. With the adoption of people from Canada into the Colville Tribes. Are they required to participate in tribal affairs, the Colville?
- affairs, the Colville?

 A No. And I don't know if we are still using that provision.
- Q So going down further -- so you don't know the answer to that, in other words; is that right? If they are required to participate in tribal affairs?
- 44 A I guess it depends on the definition of "tribal 45 affairs." I do know of a couple of cases where we 46 did adopt members of Okanagan First Nations 47 people. They did become Colvilles, and I believe

both of them became employees of the Colville 2 Tribe. I don't know that they would make the test 3 doing that. 4 So if --Q 5 Α And they lived there. 6 Q Sorry, they live there? They live in --7 Α 8 Q They live on the reservation? 9 Α Yes. 10 Q Is that right? 11 Α Yes. 12 So -- and this is one of my questions when Q Okay. 13 you come down to 7(c) here it says: 14 15 That any member who takes up permanent 16 residence or is enrolled with the tribe, band 17 or community of foreign Indians shall lose 18 his membership in the Colville Tribes. 19 20 Now, with the adoption, as you put it, of people 21 from outside of the United States, is it a 22 requirement that the people that you are referring 23 to, who may be adopted, that they have to actually 24 disenroll or unenroll from a band in Canada, for 25 instance? 26 We generally -- no, we don't allow you to be dual Α 27 enrolled. Although I know -- I have cases where I 28 suspect they are dual enrolled, but we can't prove 29 it. 30 You can't prove it? Q 31 Α 32 But -- so if someone is adopted, a Canadian is adopted into the Colville Tribes, do you require 33 34 them to cease their membership with the band that 35 they come from? 36 Yes, we would have to have a document from the 37 First Nations that they are leaving that they are 38 leaving. 39 Right. So they would have to say that they were 40 leaving. If they come from an Okanagan band, for 41 instance, in Canada, they have to have documentation saying they are leaving that band; 42 43 is that right? 44 Α Yes. 45 Do they, then, have to reside in the Continental 46 United States?

I'm not aware of that being an active requirement.

47

Α

 Usually the two issues are did they disenroll, can they prove that they are one fourth Okanagan blood. And sometimes they have difficulty doing that because -- I don't know all the specifics, but apparently different First Nations do it differently, I guess.

Right. And -- but in dealing with the members of the Okanagan, can I take you, then, to title 8 or tab 8. I just want to go to page 2 at the bottom, which is "Membership." And you'll see that this section starting there, 8-1-80, is on "Membership." And again, we go over to -- sorry. I need my glasses. Going to "Membership" down (b), you'll see that the membership is composed of persons on the roll of the tribes. And I am going to paraphrase a little bit. And -- and then you go to (b):

Blood degree, parental enrolment, residence in Continental United States, written application ...

So on this version residence in the Continental United States is required. And are you suggesting that for a Canadian coming from the Okanagan as the example, one, they have to unenroll from any band they belong to in Canada; is that correct? Looks like that's what it says.

- A Looks like that's what it says.

 Q And then -- yeah. And the second part is they have to reside in the continental United States.

 Does that continue to be true?
- A It appears that's what it says. I would say yes. THE COURT: Is there something different for a membership generally because as I'm -- I think I'm on the same page, 8-1-80, "Membership." I think you said membership of the tribes, but this is membership of the tribe for all tribal programs. Is there something for -- that deals with membership generally? This seems to relate to tribal programs. Is there something else?
- MR. THOMPSON: I'm looking at -- actually, Your Honour, your point is well taken, but also if you go over to 8-1-81, it says in 8-1-81, no -- well, this goes to Mr. Marchand's earlier point, I think, which is:

No person who is an enrolled member of any

other Indian tribe or band, foreign or 2 domestic, recognized by a Aboriginal 3 government may be enrolled or adopted into 4 the tribe. 5 6 And we've had that dealt with. 7 THE COURT: And I think it's "national government." 8 Not "Aboriginal government." 9 MR. THOMPSON: Right. Oh, I am sorry. 10 11 ... recognized by a national government ... 12 13 Correct. And then reading that together --14 THE COURT: Well, does that change the -- it just looks 15 like the section overall is membership for all 16 tribal programs, and it seems to relate still to 17 the tribal programs. I'm just wondering. 18 MR. THOMPSON: No, I see your point, Your Honour. 19 I may -- because there is a -- yes, if we go back 20 to the -- there's -- going back to tab 1, if we 21 may. And which is "Membership of the Confederated 22 Tribes." It's amendment V. This is on page Roman 23 numeral (x). 24 THE COURT: Sorry, tab 1. 25 MR. THOMPSON: In tab 1. 26 THE COURT: Tab A? 27 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, tab A. Under amendment V, 28 membership of tribe. 29 THE COURT: So you are in Roman numeral (x). 30 MR. THOMPSON: So if you go to -- this is membership --31 this is from an amendment obviously and that 32 amendment is from 1949/1950 and section 1 says: 33 34 The membership of the Confederated Tribes of 35 the Colville Reservation shall consist of the 36 following ... 37 38 So then if you follow down to section 2, the 39 question, the business council has the power to 40 prescribe rules and regulations, including the 41 adoption of members -- which includes the adoption of members and loss of membership provided ... 42 43 And here we have three points. And the third 44 point, (c), and this is my question for 45 Dr. Marchand: 46

That any member who takes up permanent

residence or is enrolled with a tribe, band or community of foreign Indians shall lose 3 his membership in the Colville Tribes. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

And I think that's been covered.

- So are you saying they do have to disenroll? MR. THOMPSON: And the tribal program issue for all tribal programs is what I wanted to take up, Your Honour, with respect to exhibit 30. And this relates to Cody DeSautel's evidence. And perhaps that could be provided to the witness. And if you turn to tab 12.
- At tab 12 is -- and do you recognize this, Dr. Marchand? This was -- Cody DeSautel provided this to the court as a regulation regarding hunting in the Arrow Lakes area.
- Α Yes, I think I recognize it.
- Now, is it feasible to say that the issue of hunting and the direction of Fish and Wildlife and the permit that accompany this is part of a program that is developed by the Colville for hunting generally?
- Α Yes, I think so.
- So this particular regulation would be subject to the requirement we've been discussing about residence in the Continental United States?
- I'd make a distinction between getting enrolled. And then once you are enrolled, you are enrolled and you can go live anywhere you want after that. In fact even if you were by some error enrolled, we have laws saying we can't disenroll you. so once you are a member, you are a member. Unless you enroll in another tribe. And even then we would like to have documentation showing that. And that has never happened, to my knowledge, really.
- No, I understand your point. And I think that all I'm getting at is that this is part of a program of permitting that is run by the Colville and these permits that are subject to this regulation are issued pursuant to that program; right?
- Α Yes.
- So let me just ask you a bit about this regulation. It's promulgated in 2010. And you see that before you. It states under the "Background" that:

The Colville Business Council on behalf of 2 our constituent, Arrow Lakes Tribe, and 3 through the Colville Tribal Code, established 4 the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal Society. 5 6 So let me just stop you there. The Arrow Lakes 7 Aboriginal Society was a BC society, was it not? 8 Yes. Α 9 And you were a member of council at the time that 10 it was established, the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal 11 Society? 12 I was a member when we discussed creating it. Α 13 can't recall if I was on it during the exact time 14 it happened. I may have been. I am aware of it. 15 You may have been, but you are not absolutely 16 sure? 17 Α Yeah. 18 But you -- since have you had dealings with the 19 society that is the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal 20 Society? 21 Α Yes. 22 0 And when you were dealing with them -- I'm going 23 to take you back particularly a few years to when 24 it was first established. Were you dealing with 25 it at that time? It was first established in --26 what? About 2007, 2008; is that right? 27 Α Possibly. 28 And at that time had you retained or did the 29 council retain Stuart Rush, a lawyer out of 30 Vancouver, to set up the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal 31 Society? 32 Yes. Although I think he was under retainer Α 33 before that, but yes. 34 Q I'm sorry, I missed the last part. 35 I think he had been employed before this issue. 36 Oh, I see. Thank you. Okay. And at this time 37 does the Colville Business Council still have 38 dealings directly with the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal 39 Society? 40 Yes. Α 41 And my understanding is that it is actually the 42 Arrow Lakes Aboriginal Society that has retained 43 the experts who testified in this case or at 44 least --45 MR. UNDERHILL: I object to that question. 46 THE COURT: Well, I wonder about that objection. 47 expert reports have been in, and so privilege over

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

the expert reports has been waived. I don't know how privilege was waived over the establishment of the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal Society other than the fact that the Crown put this document in or the 5 Confederated Tribe put something in that references this. So I wondered about the 7 question about the hiring of Stuart Rush. 8 think that was appropriate, but privilege has been 9 waived on the experts. 10 MR. UNDERHILL: But it's more the relevance that I was 11 objecting to. I don't understand how it's 12 relevant at all to anything to do with this case. 13 THE COURT: Maybe Mr. Thompson can help us because you 14 could let us know where you are going with that 15 one, Mr. Thompson. 16

MR. THOMPSON: I am sorry, I missed that last -- what you said, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Well, you can just assist us in describing how it's relevant.

Well, my point is, Your Honour, that MR. THOMPSON: we've seen from the invoice letters that were submitted by Mr. Hart that they were submitted to the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal Society. The Arrow Lakes Aboriginal Society is -- my understanding, is a vehicle for achieving and accomplishing presence in Canada by advancing the business council's interest in Canada. And in that regard it's -- it's directly involved here. As you can see, it's through the Arrow Lakes Aboriginal Society. It's "pursuing," as it says here, "reserved rights to hunt, fish and gather in the Arrow Lakes territory." So my point is that this is simply a vehicle that's been established with a Canadian presence in order to pursue this agenda in British Columbia.

THE COURT: You mean pursue a claim for Aboriginal rights?

MR. THOMPSON: Pursue a claim for Aboriginal rights.

THE COURT: I don't see how it's relevant.

MR. THOMPSON: Well, simply, Your Honour, to --

THE COURT: I just don't see how it's relevant. I have that information. I know that. I'm not sure -- I don't understand. I know that this has been raised a few times, but they are pursuing an Aboriginal rights claim, and they are clear about it. I don't think there's anything nefarious in that.

MR. THOMPSON: So we can move on, Your Honour. You have the point.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. THOMPSON:

- Q And with respect to the hunt that was conducted by Mr. DeSautel, he has given evidence here that he was directed by council to come to Canada to hunt pursuant to this regulation; is that correct?
- A Yes, although I guess from our point of view it was voluntary on his part. We assured him that we would be supportive of him if he got arrested.
- And his evidence was that he was directed to provide ceremonial meat, is my note on that, by obtaining it in the Arrow Lakes area. And does that jibe with your recollection of what direction was given to him?
- A I don't recall exactly that, but it sounds pretty probable that we did do that.
- Q That you did do that.
 - A I just remember discussing the hunt. I don't remember the ceremonial part.
 - Q And in fact there's been some evidence as well from Cody DeSautel that there were meetings that were held with members of the -- British Columbia's resources people to determine -- to advise them that a hunt would be taking place. And I don't know if you were in the courtroom when that evidence was given, but my question is with respect to that when you were on council and at that time. Do you recall giving direction to people in your wildlife group to make those applications -- or rather advances to British Columbia?
 - A I think we did. I guess, I think, as much as possible we tried to set it up as we would set up hunting within our normal jurisdictions, and so there's kind of a biological opinion, and then work within whatever system is there. So I'm sure we were -- I would just imagine that based on previous things, they probably consulted with Canadian authorities.
- 42 Q And your recollection is that you probably did 43 that -- you probably gave that direction.
- 44 A I think it's kind of consistent with what we've done in other things.
- MR. THOMPSON: Before I leave this, could we just have the documents marked as the next exhibit, please.

THE CLERK: Exhibit 62, Your Honour.

EXHIBIT 62: Colville government documents (tabs A-B, 4 and 8)

MR. THOMPSON:

- Q Now, in your evidence this afternoon, Dr. Marchand, you had talked about the fact that in the council chamber there were 12 chiefs. 12 chiefs attended council; is that right?
- A I was referring to photographs.
- Q To photographs. Thank you. I was unclear about that. So that -- I missed out that part. Thank you very much.
- A Yeah, politics. Right.
- Q And then the fact is that there are no chiefs of the individual tribes per se. All of the administrative -- put it this way, all of the chiefly duties have been granted to the business council; is that right?
- A Yes. In general, in 1938, the tribe made a decision to go with an elected council. There's one exception. When Aurapahkin died -- Chief Aurapahkin died in 1910, they held an election, and they elected chief James Bernard to be a chief. So he was the first elected person in the Colville Tribes that I know of. And then in recent years the Wenatchi Band decided to elect a salmon chief, and that was done, I'm guessing, five years ago. So that's, like, the first chief since 1938, but he has limited responsibilities to salmon chief duties within that band. His name is Chas Williams.
- Q Right. And there's -- as far as the salmon chief is concerned, there's another -- there's other salmon chiefs. Like, the Sanpoil have a salmon chief; is that right?
- A Historically they did, but -- for example, my ancestors are mostly chiefs, but since 1938 they are no longer chiefs. At least not as far as the government is concerned.
- Q And as I understand it with the salmon chief, it's largely a ceremonial position; is that right?
- 44 A Historically it was partly ceremony and partly
 45 regulatory. They actually controlled when people
 46 could fish or not fish, and so it was more than
 47 just ceremonial.

- 1 Q And the salmon chief distributed the fish, did he not?
 - A Depending on the tribe, yes, he could be doing that, but mostly he regulated who could fish or not fish, how much you could fish and how you could fish. For example, at Kettle Falls it was our custom to let other tribes fish there, but the understanding was they came into our lands, they did things by our laws, by our salmon chief, but they could fish there but with that understanding.
 - And you had testified as well that -- with regard to hunting, you've given quite a bit of information about that. And as I understand it, there's still hunting available in Kelly Hill area, for instance.
 - A Yes, except there's a lot more fee simple lands and agricultural developments. There's a lot more posted lands now.
 - Q And there's hunting available in other areas in the Washington part of the Colville -- well, in the Colville Reservation area? Let's put it that way.
 - A Yes. The original Colville Reservation was about 3 million acres, and then gold was discovered in what is called now the north half, and the government forced the tribe to sell that back to the federal government, and so we call it the north half. We can still hunt and fish there, but it's mostly national forest land and some small towns and cities. Then within the reservation proper, that's all under our jurisdiction, and we can regulate hunting and fishing within the reservation.
 - Q Right. And so under the -- in the reservation you are entitled to regulate it, I understand that, according to your constitutional documents. And just to take you back to that for a moment, we did have some evidence on this, but I wanted to take you to tab 4, which is the "Fish, Wildlife and Recreation" title. And if you have that, you'll see that on page 1 -- sorry. It's tab 4. Oh, I beg your pardon, this is at the -- you may not have it. Madam Clerk has it for you. So tab 4, you'll see that under the "Policy" there's a reference in the third line to:

... the usual and accustomed fishing grounds

and stations, hunting areas or Aboriginal 2 lands of the tribes. 3 And Cody DeSautel gave some evidence about this, 5 and I'm just curious to run this at you. 6 you go over to page 10, I was interested in the 7 definition of "usual and accustomed." And page 10 8 there is a definition there under Roman 9 numeral XXXX, it looks like, or say four Xs 10 anyway. And "usual accustomed grounds and 11 stations," would that cover, that definition, the Arrow Lakes area in terms of how this title would 12 13 be administered? 14 I think it would apply from our laws. 15 Exactly. So --16 Α There could be a conflict of laws, though. 17 Should you obtain a right in the Arrow Lakes to 18 use that area then you would consider it, and you 19 would regulate it under this regulation -- or 20 rather under this title, as a usual and accustomed 21 hunting area; is that right? 22 I would say we do that in Washington State now in 23 the off-reservation areas, and I'm not totally --24 I'm not a lawyer, but I'm not an expert in 25 Canadian law either, but assuming that it's 26 somewhat similar to Washington's laws then we 27 would start with that presumption that we could do 28 this. We may have to modify that. I don't know. 29 As a means to address it within your regulation? 0 30 Α Yeah. At least from within ourself, yeah. 31 And then under -- with that, you would presume or 32 it would be your understanding as -- at this time 33 as the chairman of the business council then that 34 this entire chapter, "Fish, Wildlife and 35 Recreation," insofar as it's applicable would 36 apply to that area; is that right? 37 MR. UNDERHILL: I'm not sure the witness can 38 answer that question, Your Honour. 39 THE COURT: I don't know how he answered the last few. 40 MR. UNDERHILL: Yeah, exactly. I was a little late. 41 THE COURT: But he did a good job. But, yeah, he 42 really can't answer that. They are legal 43 questions. 44 MR. THOMPSON: I have your point, Your Honour. 45 So put that aside for a moment. 46 And just going back to something you had said 47 in your evidence, Dr. Marchand, you had talked

about the appointment of Jim Boyd as a liaison to British Columbia. And do you recall giving that 3 evidence? 4

Yes. Α

- And just can I ask you from your perspective as -just back up for a second. You were -- prior to Jim Boyd's unfortunate passing, that you were the vice-chair, is that right, of the Colville Business Council?
- 10 Α Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

- 11 So at that time -- was this contemplation of 12 making this appointment at that time in your 13 tenure?
 - I can't remember the timing of it.
 - And I think really where I want to go with this or wanted to ask you is did you consider that from the standpoint of your position in the tribes and the business council as this being a government-to-government relationship between the Colville Tribes and British Columbia?
 - MR. UNDERHILL: I'm going to object to that question as well on the same grounds.
 - THE COURT: That being a legal question?
 - MR. UNDERHILL: Yeah. What does

"government-to-government" mean?

- THE COURT: Well, I don't have a difficulty understanding that. I guess if the witness needs clarification. It seems more of a political question.
- MR. UNDERHILL: I could stand on relevance, I suppose as well.
- THE COURT: Well, you are welcome to make that objection as well. Is that -- are you making that objection?
- MR. UNDERHILL: Yes, I will make that objection as well as I think about things.
- MR. THOMPSON: Your Honour, my point is whether -- is that it is a political question in the sense that my understanding is that the Colville Business Council and the Colville Tribes consider themselves to be an Indian government, so -- and that's been established by the witness. British Columbia is a government. As you are well aware -- you are probably aware, I should say, in this province we regularly -- or the province regularly deals with First Nations who engage with the province on the basis they consider it a

government-to-government relationship. And that emanates from the First Nations. So my question to Dr. Marchand is simply to determine if they are attempting to -- or this would be 5 considered in the same footing. And I'm not 6 asking him to answer that part of it. That's the 7 relevance of it in terms of does it compare to 8 what we, British Columbia, might expect from the 9 First Nation in this province. 10 THE COURT: Well, see, first of all, I don't see it as being relevant, but secondly, I think the way you 11 12 phrased it makes it impossible for him to answer 13 because I don't know how he could compare. So I 14 think that I will sustain the objection on the grounds of relevance. Okay. 15 16 MR. THOMPSON: Fine, Your Honour. Thank you. 17 think we have nothing else for you, Dr. Marchand. 18 Thank you very much. 19 THE WITNESS: You are welcome. 20 THE COURT: Anything arising? 21 MR. UNDERHILL: Nothing arising. 22 THE COURT: Dr. Marchand, thank you very much for 23 coming -- or for getting up and testifying today. 24 Thank you. You are excused. 25 26 (WITNESS EXCUSED) 27 28 THE COURT: I take it that we are not going to start 29 with another witness, then? 30 MR. UNDERHILL: We could. Ms. Boyd is here. 31 THE COURT: Is she here? 32 MR. UNDERHILL: I wouldn't finish direct, I wouldn't 33 think, but, you know, she has come up and sat 34 here, so if we --35 THE COURT: We do have 15 minutes. If you can -- sure. 36 All right. 37 MR. UNDERHILL: Maybe just check. 38 Your Honour, I'd like to now call Shelly Boyd 39 to the stand, please, who will affirm to give her 40 evidence today. 41 42 SHELLY BOYD, a witness 43 called for the accused, 44 affirmed.

Please state your full name and spell your

last name for the record.

THE CLERK:

45 46